

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,407	02/27/2004	Tony W. Mears	· F012.PAT-12	5233
7	590 12/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Emery L. Tracy P.O. Box 1518			OLSON, LARS A	
Boulder, CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
3 0	10/789,407	MEARS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lars A. Olson	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 No.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 November 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10,12-23,25-28,30,31 and 34 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-15,25-28,30,31 and 34 is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,8,9,16,17,21 and 22 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-7,10,18-20 and 23 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. red. d. o.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		·				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

An after-final amendment was received from the applicant on November 25,
 2005.

2. Claims 11, 24, 29, 32 and 33 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8, 16, 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (US 3,506,222).

Anderson discloses the same flotation device for maintaining an aircraft in a floating condition on a body of water as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-5, said flotation device being comprised of a plurality of flotation bladders, defined as Parts #23 and 24, that are mounted to an outer surface of an aircraft, as shown in Figures 2-5, and are independently and automatically inflatable by an inflation means, defined as Parts #27 and 28, where said flotation device provides additional buoyancy to said aircraft during a water landing, and is capable of being used during emergency landings on either land or water, as described in lines 54-57 of column 2.

Anderson also discloses the same method for maintaining an aircraft in a stable floating condition on a body of water as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-5, said method being comprised of the steps of mounting a plurality of flotation bladders, defined as Parts #23 and 24, to an outside surface of an aircraft, as shown in Figures 2-5, and independently and automatically inflating said flotation bladders, as shown in Figure 3, upon the need for an emergency landing on land or water, as described in lines 54-57 of column 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson.

Anderson, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a flotation bladder that has coloring and markings.

The use of a flotation bladder with coloring and markings would be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be an obvious means for making a flotation bladder more visible on a body of water, and to provide emergency distress or rescue messages.

Art Unit: 3617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a flotation bladder with coloring and markings in combination with the flotation device as disclosed by Anderson for the purpose of providing a flotation means for an aircraft that is more visible on a body of water, and a means for communicating emergency distress or rescue messages.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 12-15, 25-28, 30, 31 and 34 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 4-7, 10, 18-20 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 8, 9, 16, 17, 21 and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

December 2, 2005

LARS A. OLSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Faces Olson

12 / 2 / 05